

NEWS RELEASE

For Immediate Release, Thursday, April 14, 2004

Media Contact: Kip Chase 317-232-3396

City, County, MSA Unemployment Rate Report:

State releases preliminary local unemployment figures for February, revised January numbers

Indianapolis, Ind.—Today the Indiana Department of Workforce Development released preliminary February and revised January unemployment figures for the state's 92 counties, major cities and Metropolitan Statistical areas.

As reported earlier this month, Indiana's preliminary non-seasonally adjusted rate for February was 6.4 percent, up from January's rate of 6.1 percent and up from February 2004's reported rate of 5.9 percent. City, county and Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) unemployment figures, which are non-seasonally adjusted, are derived from a federally mandated formula, based on the state's overall employment rate.

The national non-seasonally adjusted unemployment rate for February 5.8 percent. As for Indiana's neighbors, Kentucky's February rate was 6.1 percent, Illinois' rate was 6.5 percent, Ohio's rate was 7.2 percent while Michigan's rate was reported to be 8.0 percent.

Ron Stiver, Commissioner for the Department of Workforce Development said, "These current unemployment numbers show Indiana continues to trail the nation and provides further support for Governor Daniels' efforts to move both quickly and boldly in growing Hoosier employment."

For more information on Indiana's unemployment rate, contact DWD at 1-888-WorkOne or visit the web site at www.workforce.IN.gov.

Technical Notes:

- In calculating unemployment rates, two different types of numbers are provided. The first, known as the *non-seasonally adjusted rate*, estimates employment and unemployment without taking into account the effects of seasonal trends. In calculating the *seasonally adjusted rate*, or employment that follows more or less a regular pattern each year, holiday, summer and other types of seasonal employment is factored out of the estimate. These adjustments make it easier to observe the cyclical and other non-seasonal movements.
- Compiled in conjunction with the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, the State's unemployment rate is derived from a national survey of about 60,000 households. Of that number approximately 1,300 Indiana households are polled each month.
- The Household survey that calculates the unemployment rate is conducted by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics and is conducted during the week of the 12th of the month.
- Labor force is calculated as the number of people 16 years of age and older who were able and available to work.
- For most accurate analysis, please use revised data sets and not preliminary estimates.

LABOR FORCE ESTIMATES

CPS BENCHMARK: 2004 § § § EMPLOYMENT BENCHMARK: 2004

THESE ESTIMATES ARE PREPARED IN COOPERATION WITH THE U.S. BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS (BLS) AND HAVE BEEN ADJUSTED TO THE CURRENT POPULATION SURVEY (CPS) FOR USE IN ALLOCATING FEDERAL FUNDS. BECAUSE OF IRREGULAR FLUCTUATIONS IN MONTH TO MONTH CHANGES, THE READER SHOULD USE CAUTION WHEN VIEWING THESE ESTIMATES AS INDICATORS OF ECONOMIC CHANGE.

					Seasonally Adjusted
AREA	LABOR FORCE	EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED	RATE	RATE
U.S.					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	147,649,000	139,100,000	8,549,000	5.8	5.4
January 2005 (Revised)	147,125,000	138,682,000	8,444,000	5.7	5.2
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	146,154,000	137,384,000	8,770,000	6.0	5.6
INDIANA					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	3,163,700	2,960,700	203,000 190,900	6.4 6.1	5.7 5.4
January 2005 (Revised) February 2004 (Benchmarked)	3,146,900 3,138,100	2,956,000 2,954,500	183,600	5.9	5.4 5.4
r obradily 2001 (2010) markody			100,000	0.0	.
	METROPOLITAN STATIST	ICAL AREAS			
ANDERSON MSA	64.550	E0 920	4.720	7.2	
February 2005 (Preliminary) January 2005 (Revised)	64,550 64,230	59,820 59,640	4,730 4,590	7.3 7.1	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	64,000	59,810	4,190	6.5	
BLOOMINGTON MSA	,	,	•		
February 2005 (Preliminary)	96,910	91,260	5,650	5.8	
January 2005 (Revised)	96,140	90,900	5,240	5.4	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	97,020	92,070	4,950	5.1	
COLUMBUS MSA					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	37,460	35,400	2,060	5.5	
January 2005 (Revised)	37,140	35,200	1,940	5.2	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	36,310	34,460	1,850	5.1	
ELKHART-GOSHEN MSA	404 000	00.700	F 000	5 0	
February 2005 (Preliminary) January 2005 (Revised)	101,820 101,520	96,760 96,560	5,060 4,960	5.0 4.9	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	97,220	92,770	4,450	4.6	
EVANSVILLE MSA	- ,	, ,	,		
February 2005 (Preliminary)	175,840	165,520	10,320	5.9	
January 2005 (Revised)	174,440	164,930	9,510	5.5	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	176,660	167,630	9,030	5.1	
FORT WAYNE MSA					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	211,300	198,220	13,080	6.2	
January 2005 (Revised)	210,580	198,530 200,450	12,050	5.7 5.8	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	212,800	200,450	12,350	5.0	
GARY February 2005 (Preliminary)	324,690	302,290	22,400	6.9	
January 2005 (Revised)	323,050	302,390	20,660	6.4	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	324,480	302,760	21,720	6.7	
INDIANAPOLIS MSA					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	812,910	763,770	49,140	6.0	
January 2005 (Revised)	809,610	763,710	45,900	5.7	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	801,730	757,630	44,100	5.5	
KOKOMO MSA					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	49,330	45,740	3,590	7.3	
January 2005 (Revised) February 2004 (Benchmarked)	48,540 49,720	45,190 46,690	3,350 3,030	6.9 6.1	
	43,120		5,000	J.1	
LAFAYETTE MSA February 2005 (Preliminary)	93,040	87,810	5,230	5.6	
January 2005 (Revised)	91,790	86,950	4,840	5.3	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	92,360	87,450	4,910	5.3	
MICHIGAN CITY MSA					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	55,800	51,840	3,960	7.1	
January 2005 (Revised)	55,550	51,880	3,670	6.6	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	55,780	51,890	3,890	7.0	

AREA	LABOR FORCE	EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED	RATE	
MUNCIE MSA					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	58,340	53,680	4,660	8.0	
January 2005 (Revised)	57,360	53,140	4,220	7.4	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	59,120	55,330	3,790	6.4	
SOUTH BEND MSA					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	163,660	154,050	9,610	5.9	
January 2005 (Revised)	162,780	153,700	9,080	5.6	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	159,870	151,110	8,760	5.5	
TERRE HAUTE MSA					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	83,700	76,940	6,760	8.1	
January 2005 (Revised)	83,120	76,700	6,420	7.7	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	84,130	77,160	6,970	8.3	
	COUNTIES				
	COUNTILO				
ADAMS					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	16,630	15,590	1,040	6.3	
January 2005 (Revised)	16,400	15,600	800	4.9	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	16,140	15,360	780	4.8	
ALLEN (FORT WAYNE MSA)					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	178,770	167,710	11,060	6.2	
January 2005 (Revised)	178,200	167,970	10,230	5.7	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	179,880	169,590	10,290	5.7	
BARTHOLOMEW (COLUMBUS MSA)					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	37,460	35,400	2,060	5.5	
January 2005 (Revised)	37,140	35,200	1,940	5.2	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	36,310	34,460	1,850	5.1	
BENTON (LAFAYETTE MSA)					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	4,590	4,300	290	6.3	
January 2005 (Revised)	4,525	4,260	265	5.9	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	4,585	4,280	305	6.6	
BLACKFORD					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	6,920	6,330	590	8.6	
January 2005 (Revised)	6,880	6,315	565	8.2	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	6,835	6,325	510	7.4	
BOONE (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	24,600	23,270	1,330	5.4	
January 2005 (Revised)	24,460	23,260	1,200	4.9	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	24,290	23,080	1,210	5.0	
BROWN (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	7,845	7,180	665	8.5	
January 2005 (Revised)	7,820	7,180	640	8.2	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	7,760	7,125	635	8.2	
CARROLL (LAFAYETTE MSA)					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	10,380	9,710	670	6.4	
January 2005 (Revised)	10,270	9,620	650	6.3	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	10,440	9,680	760	7.3	
CASS					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	20,760	19,390	1,370	6.6	
January 2005 (Revised)	20,470	19,190	1,280	6.3	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	20,580	19,200	1,380	6.7	
CLARK					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	57,520	54,400	3,120	5.4	
January 2005 (Revised)	57,570	54,470	3,100	5.4	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	57,720	55,080	2,640	4.6	
CLAY (TERRE HAUTE MSA)					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	13,450	12,400	1,050	7.8	
January 2005 (Revised)	13,580	12,360	1,220	8.9	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	13,490	12,440	1,050	7.8	
CLINTON		•	•		
February 2005 (Preliminary)	16,650	15,460	1,190	7.2	
January 2005 (Revised)	16,470	15,340	1,130	6.8	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	16,400	15,330	1,070	6.5	
•	•	•	•		

AREA	LABOR FORCE	EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED	RATE	
CRAWFORD					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	5,600	5,050	550	9.8	
January 2005 (Revised)	5,605 5,590	5,040 5,120	565 470	10.1 8.4	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	5,590	5,120	470	0.4	
DAVIESS February 2005 (Preliminary)	14,710	14,000	710	4.8	
January 2005 (Revised)	14,710	13,820	650	4.5	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	14,520	13,880	640	4.4	
DEARBORN (CINCINNATI MSA)					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	26,170	24,460	1,710	6.5	
January 2005 (Revised)	26,200	24,520	1,680	6.4	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	26,200	24,770	1,430	5.5	
DECATUR					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	12,870	12,070	800	6.2	
January 2005 (Revised)	12,750	11,970	780	6.1	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	12,510	11,810	700	5.6	
DEKALB	00.100	00.055	4 = 4 =	0.0	
February 2005 (Preliminary) January 2005 (Revised)	22,160 22,080	20,650 20,630	1,510 1,450	6.8 6.6	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	21,970	20,630	1,300	5.9	
	21,570	20,070	1,500	0.0	
DELAWARE (MUNCIE MSA) February 2005 (Preliminary)	58.340	53,680	4.660	8.0	
January 2005 (Revised)	57,360	53,140	4,220	7.4	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	59,120	55,330	3,790	6.4	
DUBOIS	•	•	•		
February 2005 (Preliminary)	22,390	21,430	960	4.3	
January 2005 (Revised)	22,360	21,430	930	4.2	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	22,140	21,130	1,010	4.5	
ELKHART (ELKHART-GOSHEN)					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	101,820	96,760	5,060	5.0	
January 2005 (Revised)	101,520	96,560	4,960	4.9	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	97,220	92,770	4,450	4.6	
FAYETTE	44 =00	40 -00	4.000		
February 2005 (Preliminary)	11,790	10,760	1,030	8.8	
January 2005 (Revised) February 2004 (Benchmarked)	11,740 11,650	10,740 10,680	1,000 970	8.6 8.3	
	11,000	10,000	370	0.0	
FLOYD February 2005 (Preliminary)	40,880	38,560	2.320	5.7	
January 2005 (Revised)	40,930	38,620	2,310	5.6	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	40,890	39,050	1,840	4.5	
FOUNTAIN					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	8,735	8,145	590	6.7	
January 2005 (Revised)	8,575	8,055	520	6.1	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	8,575	8,015	560	6.5	
FRANKLIN (CINCINNATI MSA)					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	12,190	11,200	990	8.1	
January 2005 (Revised)	12,140	11,230	910	7.5	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	12,190	11,340	850	6.9	
FULTON Following 2005 (Broliminary)	40.000	0.000	000	0.0	
February 2005 (Preliminary) January 2005 (Revised)	10,280 10,280	9,600 9,580	680 700	6.6 6.8	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	10,280	9,400	710	7.0	
GIBSON (EVANSVILLE MSA)	,	- , . - -			
February 2005 (Preliminary)	16,680	15,720	960	5.7	
January 2005 (Revised)	16,560	15,670	890	5.4	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	16,770	15,990	780	4.6	
GRANT					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	34,030	30,610	3,420	10.0	
January 2005 (Revised)	33,990	30,690	3,300	9.7	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	34,890	32,090	2,800	8.0	

AREA	LABOR FORCE	EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED	RATE	
GREENE (BLOOMINGTON MSA)					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	16,960	15,600	1,360	8.0	
January 2005 (Revised)	16,800	15,540	1,260	7.5	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	16,950	15,730	1,220	7.2	
HAMILTON (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)	444 400	406.000	4.400	4.0	
February 2005 (Preliminary) January 2005 (Revised)	111,480 111,110	106,990 106,990	4,490 4,120	4.0 3.7	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	110,300	106,130	4,170	3.8	
HANCOCK (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)	7,555	, , , ,	,		
February 2005 (Preliminary)	30,920	29,210	1,710	5.5	
January 2005 (Revised)	30,810	29,210	1,600	5.2	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	30,430	28,970	1,460	4.8	
HARRISON					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	21,050	19,580	1,470	7.0	
January 2005 (Revised)	21,110	19,600	1,510	7.1	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	20,900	19,820	1,080	5.1	
HENDRICKS (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	61,460	58,470	2,990	4.9	
January 2005 (Revised) February 2004 (Benchmarked)	61,240 60,620	58,470 58,000	2,770 2,620	4.5 4.3	
	00,020	36,000	2,020	4.3	
HENRY	24.400	22.200	4 000	7.0	
February 2005 (Preliminary) January 2005 (Revised)	24,100 24.020	22,200 22,160	1,900 1,860	7.9 7.7	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	23,470	21,850	1,620	6.9	
HOWARD (KOKOMO MSA)	-,	,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
February 2005 (Preliminary)	40,860	37,840	3,020	7.4	
January 2005 (Revised)	40,220	37,380	2,840	7.0	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	41,210	38,640	2,570	6.2	
HUNTINGTON					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	20,940	19,510	1,430	6.8	
January 2005 (Revised)	20,790	19,500	1,290	6.2	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	20,570	19,400	1,170	5.7	
JACKSON					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	22,290	21,040	1,250	5.6	
January 2005 (Revised) February 2004 (Benchmarked)	22,140 22,060	20,970 20,930	1,170 1,130	5.3 5.1	
	22,000	20,930	1,130	3.1	
JASPER (GARY) February 2005 (Preliminary)	15 110	12.010	4 200	8.0	
January 2005 (Revised)	15,110 15,030	13,910 13,910	1,200 1,120	7.4	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	15,050	13,920	1,130	7.5	
JAY					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	10,980	10,170	810	7.3	
January 2005 (Revised)	11,040	10,300	740	6.7	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	10,830	10,090	740	6.8	
JEFFERSON					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	18,010	16,950	1,060	5.9	
January 2005 (Revised)	17,900	16,890	1,010	5.7	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	17,720	16,830	890	5.0	
JENNINGS	44.250	42.240	4.440	7.0	
February 2005 (Preliminary) January 2005 (Revised)	14,350 14,280	13,210 13,230	1,140 1,050	7.9 7.3	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	13,920	12,910	1,010	7.3	
JOHNSON (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)	-,	,,	.,		
February 2005 (Preliminary)	64,150	60,530	3,620	5.6	
January 2005 (Revised)	63,860	60,530	3,330	5.2	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	63,020	60,050	2,970	4.7	
KNOX					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	20,550	19,480	1,070	5.2	
January 2005 (Revised)	20,220	19,220	1,000	4.9	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	20,100	19,160	940	4.7	

AREA	LABOR FORCE	EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED	RATE	
KOSCIUSKO				_ =	
February 2005 (Preliminary)	41,340	39,290	2,050	5.0	
January 2005 (Revised) February 2004 (Benchmarked)	41,510 40,420	39,530 38,700	1,980 1,720	4.8 4.3	
	40,420	36,700	1,720	4.3	
LAGRANGE February 2005 (Preliminary)	16,840	15,930	910	5.4	
January 2005 (Revised)	16,830	15,770	1,060	6.3	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	16,290	15,510	780	4.8	
LAKE (GARY)					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	223,640	207,590	16,050	7.2	
January 2005 (Revised)	222,450	207,650	14,800	6.7	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	223,410	207,900	15,510	6.9	
LA PORTE (MICHIGAN CITY MSA)					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	55,800 55,550	51,840 51,880	3,960	7.1 6.6	
January 2005 (Revised) February 2004 (Benchmarked)	55,550 55,780	51,880 51,890	3,670 3,890	7.0	
	33,700	31,030	0,000	7.0	
LAWRENCE February 2005 (Preliminary)	23,080	21,070	2,010	8.7	
January 2005 (Revised)	23,020	21,100	1,920	8.3	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	22,940	21,130	1,810	7.9	
MADISON (ANDERSON MSA)					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	64,550	59,820	4,730	7.3	
January 2005 (Revised)	64,230	59,640	4,590	7.1	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	64,000	59,810	4,190	6.5	
MARION (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	438,000	408,680	29,320	6.7	
January 2005 (Revised) February 2004 (Benchmarked)	436,120 431,830	408,650 405,390	27,470 26,440	6.3 6.1	
	431,030	403,390	20,440	0.1	
MARSHALL February 2005 (Preliminary)	22,750	21,350	1,400	6.2	
January 2005 (Revised)	22,710	21,330	1,390	6.1	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	22,410	21,110	1,300	5.8	
MARTIN					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	5,250	4,915	335	6.4	
January 2005 (Revised)	5,180	4,890	290	5.6	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	5,175	4,865	310	6.0	
MIAMI					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	18,320	17,060	1,260	6.9	
January 2005 (Revised) February 2004 (Benchmarked)	18,200 18,220	17,010 16,990	1,190 1,230	6.5 6.8	
	10,220	10,330	1,230	0.0	
MONROE (BLOOMINGTON MSA) February 2005 (Preliminary)	67,990	64,580	3,410	5.0	
January 2005 (Revised)	67,490	64,330	3,160	4.7	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	68,170	65,160	3,010	4.4	
MONTGOMERY					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	19,990	18,930	1,060	5.3	
January 2005 (Revised)	19,860	18,900	960	4.8	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	19,780	18,850	930	4.7	
MORGAN (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)	04.000	00.700	0.000	0.4	
February 2005 (Preliminary) January 2005 (Revised)	34,990 34,800	32,760 32,750	2,230 2,050	6.4 5.9	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	34,490	32,750 32,490	2,000	5.8	
	3.,.00	J_,	_,,	3.0	
NEWTON (GARY) February 2005 (Preliminary)	7,075	6,630	445	6.3	
January 2005 (Revised)	7,060	6,635	425	6.0	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	7,095	6,640	455	6.4	
NOBLE					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	23,600	22,030	1,570	6.7	
January 2005 (Revised)	23,710	22,030	1,680	7.1	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	23,340	21,820	1,520	6.5	

AREA	LABOR FORCE	EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED	RATE	
OHIO (CINCINNATI MSA)					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	3,135	2,935	200	6.4	
January 2005 (Revised)	3,130	2,935	195	6.2	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	3,145	2,970	175	5.6	
ORANGE	0.220	0.420	000	0.0	
February 2005 (Preliminary) January 2005 (Revised)	9,330 9,330	8,430 8,465	900 865	9.6 9.3	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	9,340	8,535	805	8.6	
	0,0.0	0,000		0.0	
OWEN (BLOOMINGTON MSA) February 2005 (Preliminary)	11,970	11,080	890	7.4	
January 2005 (Revised)	11,860	11,040	820	6.9	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	11,910	11,190	720	6.1	
PARKE					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	8,105	7,425	680	8.4	
January 2005 (Revised)	7,960	7,320	640	8.1	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	7,940	7,390	550	6.9	
PERRY					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	9,875	9,250	625	6.3	
January 2005 (Revised)	9,975 9,855	9,300	675 545	6.8 5.5	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	9,855	9,310	343	5.5	
PIKE February 2005 (Broliminary)	0.450	6.005	44.5	6.4	
February 2005 (Preliminary) January 2005 (Revised)	6,450 6,450	6,035 6,035	415 415	6.4 6.5	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	6,240	5,950	290	4.6	
	0,2 :0	0,000			
PORTER (GARY) February 2005 (Preliminary)	78,880	74,170	4,710	6.0	
January 2005 (Revised)	78,520	74,170	4,320	5.5	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	78,920	74,290	4,630	5.9	
POSEY (EVANSVILLE MSA)					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	13,530	12,780	750	5.5	
January 2005 (Revised)	13,430	12,740	690	5.1	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	13,670	13,000	670	4.9	
PULASKI					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	7,005	6,555	450	6.4	
January 2005 (Revised)	6,905	6,485	420	6.1	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	6,610	6,195	415	6.2	
PUTNAM (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)	46.020	4E E20	4 200	7.7	
February 2005 (Preliminary) January 2005 (Revised)	16,830 16,860	15,530 15,530	1,300 1,330	7.7 7.9	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	16,680	15,410	1,270	7.6	
RANDOLPH	20,000	,	-,		
February 2005 (Preliminary)	13,600	12,360	1,240	9.1	
January 2005 (Revised)	13,500	12,390	1,110	8.3	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	13,670	12,630	1,040	7.6	
RIPLEY					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	15,360	14,370	990	6.4	
January 2005 (Revised)	15,190	14,260	930	6.1	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	14,830	14,000	830	5.6	
RUSH					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	9,150	8,625	525	5.7	
January 2005 (Revised)	9,010	8,505 8,315	505 500	5.6 5.7	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	8,815	8,315	500	5.7	
SAINT JOSEPH (SOUTH BEND MSA)	425.000	407.040	7,000	E 0	
February 2005 (Preliminary) January 2005 (Revised)	135,800 135,110	127,810 127,630	7,990 7,480	5.9 5.5	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	133,800	126,480	7,320	5.5	
SCOTT (Bondiniantou)	. 30,000	0,.00	- ,0=0		
February 2005 (Preliminary)	11,420	10,550	870	7.7	
January 2005 (Revised)	11,330	10,540	790	7.0	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	11,190	10,470	720	6.5	

AREA	LABOR FORCE	EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED	RATE	
SHELBY (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	22,630	21,160	1,470	6.5	
January 2005 (Revised)	22,550	21,150	1,400	6.2	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	22,320	20,990	1,330	6.0	
SPENCER February 2005 (Preliminary)	10,760	10,000	760	7.0	
January 2005 (Revised)	10,710	9,970	740	6.9	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	10,530	9,900	630	6.0	
STARKE					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	10,590	9,650	940	8.9	
January 2005 (Revised)	10,440	9,570	870	8.4	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	10,350	9,530	820	7.9	
STEUBEN COOF (P. III. III.)	47.400	40.400	4.040	- 4	
February 2005 (Preliminary)	17,420	16,180	1,240	7.1	
January 2005 (Revised)	17,300 17,160	16,110 16,070	1,190 1,090	6.9 6.3	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	17,160	16,070	1,090	0.3	
SULLIVAN (TERRE HAUTE MSA)	0.640	0 770	0.40	0 7	
February 2005 (Preliminary)	9,610	8,770 8,745	840 745	8.7 7.9	
January 2005 (Revised) February 2004 (Benchmarked)	9,490 9,630	8,745 8,795	835	7.9 8.7	
SWITZERLAND	0,000	5,. 00			
February 2005 (Preliminary)	5,865	5,550	315	5.3	
January 2005 (Revised)	5,800	5,490	310	5.3	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	5,770	5,480	290	5.0	
TIPPECANOE (LAFAYETTE MSA)					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	78,070	73,790	4,280	5.5	
January 2005 (Revised)	77,000	73,070	3,930	5.1	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	77,340	73,500	3,840	5.0	
TIPTON (KOKOMO MSA)	0.470	7.005	F7F	0.0	
February 2005 (Preliminary) January 2005 (Revised)	8,470 8,320	7,895 7,805	575 515	6.8 6.2	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	8,520 8,520	7,805 8,065	455	5.4	
UNION	0,020	0,000	400	0.4	
February 2005 (Preliminary)	4,190	3,925	265	6.3	
January 2005 (Revised)	4,165	3,910	255	6.1	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	4,080	3,845	235	5.7	
VANDERBURGH (EVANSVILLE MSA)					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	88,670	83,260	5,410	6.1	
January 2005 (Revised)	87,910	82,990	4,920	5.6	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	89,300	84,670	4,630	5.2	
VERMILLION (TERRE HAUTE MSA)					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	8,475	7,650	825	9.7	
January 2005 (Revised)	8,355	7,625	730	8.7	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	8,475	7,670	805	9.5	
VIGO (TERRE HAUTE MSA)	E2 460	49 120	4.040	77	
February 2005 (Preliminary) January 2005 (Revised)	52,160 51,700	48,120 47,970	4,040 3,730	7.7 7.2	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	52,540	48,260	4,280	8.2	
WABASH	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, , , ,	,		
February 2005 (Preliminary)	17,710	16,480	1,230	6.9	
January 2005 (Revised)	17,680	16,520	1,160	6.5	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	17,730	16,570	1,160	6.6	
WARREN					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	4,570	4,310	260	5.6	
January 2005 (Revised)	4,490	4,255	235	5.2	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	4,485	4,255	230	5.2	
WARRICK (EVANSVILLE MSA)	20.000	27 420	4 640	E e	
February 2005 (Preliminary)	29,060	27,420 27,340	1,640 1,520	5.6 5.3	
January 2005 (Revised)					
January 2005 (Revised) February 2004 (Benchmarked)	28,860 29,250	27,900	1,350	4.6	

AREA	LABOR FORCE	EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED	RATE	
WASHINGTON	45.400	44.000	4.400	- 4	
February 2005 (Preliminary) January 2005 (Revised)	15,400 15,390	14,300 14,320	1,100 1,070	7.1 6.9	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	15,430	14,320	950	6.2	
	10,400	14,400	000	0.2	
WAYNE February 2005 (Preliminary)	34,580	31,810	2,770	8.0	
January 2005 (Revised)	34,290	31,620	2,670	7.8	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	34,720	32,110	2,610	7.5	
WELLS (FORT WAYNE MSA)					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	14,970	14,070	900	6.0	
January 2005 (Revised)	14,900	14,100	800	5.4	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	15,070	14,230	840	5.6	
WHITE					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	11,930	11,030	900	7.6	
January 2005 (Revised) February 2004 (Benchmarked)	11,900 11,750	11,050 10,770	850 980	7.1 8.3	
	11,700	10,770	300	0.0	
WHITLEY (FORT WAYNE MSA) February 2005 (Preliminary)	17,560	16,440	1,120	6.4	
January 2005 (Revised)	17,480	16,460	1,020	5.8	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	17,850	16,630	1,220	6.9	
	CITIES (Population ove	er 25.000)			
·	orrico (r opalation ovo	1 20,000)			
ANDERSON CITY February 2005 (Preliminary)	28,480	25.770	2,710	9.5	
January 2005 (Revised)	28,320	25,690	2,630	9.3	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	28,160	25,760	2,400	8.5	
BLOOMINGTON CITY					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	35,950	33,910	2,040	5.7	
January 2005 (Revised)	35,670	33,780	1,890	5.3	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	36,010	34,210	1,800	5.0	
CARMEL CITY					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	22,090	21,260	830	3.8	
January 2005 (Revised) February 2004 (Benchmarked)	22,020 21,860	21,250 21,090	770 770	3.5 3.5	
		21,000		0.0	
COLUMBUS CITY February 2005 (Preliminary)	19,850	18.750	1,100	5.5	
January 2005 (Revised)	19,690	18,660	1,030	5.2	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	19,240	18,250	990	5.1	
EAST CHICAGO CITY					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	11,940	10,170	1,770	14.8	
January 2005 (Revised)	11,810	10,180	1,630	13.8	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	11,900	10,190	1,710	14.4	
ELKHART CITY					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	28,200	25,890	2,310	8.2	
January 2005 (Revised) February 2004 (Benchmarked)	28,100 26,850	25,830 24,810	2,270 2,040	8.1 7.6	
	20,000	24,010	2,040	7.0	
EVANSVILLE CITY February 2005 (Preliminary)	61,920	57,350	4,570	7.4	
January 2005 (Revised)	61,330	57,180	4,150	6.8	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	62,240	58,330	3,910	6.3	
FISHERS TOWN					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	25,400	24,610	790	3.1	
January 2005 (Revised)	25,330	24,600	730	2.9	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	25,150	24,420	730	2.9	
FORT WAYNE CITY	400 400	400.740	0.700	0.0	
February 2005 (Preliminary) January 2005 (Revised)	109,430 108,930	100,710 100,870	8,720 8,060	8.0 7.4	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	109,940	101,830	8,110	7.4 7.4	
GARY CITY		223,000	3,		
February 2005 (Preliminary)	40,860	34,990	5,870	14.4	
January 2005 (Revised)	40,410	34,990	5,420	13.4	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	40,710	35,030	5,680	13.9	

AREA	LABOR FORCE	EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED	RATE	
GOSHEN CITY					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	15,750	14,920	830	5.3	
January 2005 (Revised)	15,700	14,890	810	5.2	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	15,030	14,300	730	4.8	
GREENWOOD CITY		40.000			
February 2005 (Preliminary)	20,780	19,670	1,110	5.4	
January 2005 (Revised) February 2004 (Benchmarked)	20,690 20,420	19,660 19,500	1,030 920	5.0 4.5	
	20,420	19,500	320	4.5	
HAMMOND CITY February 2005 (Proliminary)	26 000	22 000	2 000	8.3	
February 2005 (Preliminary) January 2005 (Revised)	36,880 36,650	33,800 33,810	3,080 2,840	6.3 7.7	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	36,830	33,860	2,970	8.1	
		33,333	_,0:0		
HOBART CITY February 2005 (Preliminary)	12,580	11,960	620	4.9	
January 2005 (Revised)	12,540	11,900	570	4.6	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	12,580	11,980	600	4.8	
	,				
INDIANAPOLIS CITY February 2005 (Preliminary)	397,940	370.600	27,340	6.9	
January 2005 (Revised)	396,180	370,570	25,610	6.5	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	392,270	367,620	24,650	6.3	
JEFFERSONVILLE CITY	•	,	•		
February 2005 (Preliminary)	16,340	15,240	1,100	6.7	
January 2005 (Revised)	16,350	15,260	1,090	6.7	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	16,360	15,430	930	5.7	
KOKOMO CITY	•	•			
February 2005 (Preliminary)	22,200	20,180	2,020	9.1	
January 2005 (Revised)	21,840	19,940	1,900	8.7	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	22,330	20,610	1,720	7.7	
LAFAYETTE CITY					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	32,980	31,010	1,970	6.0	
January 2005 (Revised)	32,510	30,700	1,810	5.6	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	32,650	30,880	1,770	5.4	
LAWRENCE TOWN					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	20,820	19,540	1,280	6.1	
January 2005 (Revised)	20,740	19,550	1,190	5.8	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	20,540	19,390	1,150	5.6	
MARION CITY					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	13,450	11,600	1,850	13.7	
January 2005 (Revised)	13,410	11,630	1,780	13.3	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	13,670	12,160	1,510	11.1	
MERRILLVILLE TOWN					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	15,510	14,880	630	4.1	
January 2005 (Revised)	15,460	14,880	580	3.8	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	15,510	14,900	610	3.9	
MICHIGAN CITY CITY					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	15,970	14,440	1,530	9.5	
January 2005 (Revised)	15,870	14,460	1,410	8.9	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	15,960	14,460	1,500	9.4	
MISHAWAKA CITY					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	25,780	24,540	1,240	4.8	
January 2005 (Revised)	25,670	24,510	1,160	4.5	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	25,420	24,280	1,140	4.5	
MUNCIE CITY					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	31,820	28,520	3,300	10.4	
January 2005 (Revised)	31,220	28,230	2,990	9.6	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	32,070	29,390	2,680	8.4	
NEW ALBANY CITY					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	20,870	19,430	1,440	6.9	
January 2005 (Revised)	20,890	19,460	1,430	6.9	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	20,820	19,680	1,140	5.5	

AREA	LABOR FORCE	EMPLOYED	<u>UNEMPLOYED</u>	RATE	
NOBLESVILLE CITY					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	17,540	16,660	880	5.0	
January 2005 (Revised)	17,470	16,660	810	4.6	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	17,350	16,540	810	4.7	
PORTAGE CITY					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	17,740	16,410	1,330	7.5	
January 2005 (Revised)	17,640	16,420	1,220	6.9	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	17,750	16,440	1,310	7.4	
RICHMOND CITY	,	,	•		
February 2005 (Preliminary)	18,480	16,550	1,930	10.4	
January 2005 (Revised)	18,320	16,460	1,860	10.4	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	18,530	16,710	1,820	9.8	
	10,000	10,710	1,020	3.0	
SCHERERVILLE TOWN					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	13,570	13,210	360	2.6	
January 2005 (Revised)	13,550	13,220	330	2.4	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	13,580	13,230	350	2.5	
SOUTH BEND CITY					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	53,340	48,550	4,790	9.0	
January 2005 (Revised)	52,970	48,490	4,480	8.5	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	52,430	48,050	4,380	8.4	
TERRE HAUTE CITY					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	27.040	24,760	2,280	8.4	
January 2005 (Revised)	26,790	24,690	2,100	7.9	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	27,240	24,820	2,420	8.9	
VALPARAISO CITY					
February 2005 (Preliminary)	13,890	13,130	760	5.4	
January 2005 (Revised)	13,830	13,140	690	5.0	
February 2004 (Benchmarked)	13,900	13,160	740	5.3	
	10,000	.5,.00	. 40		
WEST LAFAYETTE CITY	40.000	40.040	000	5.0	
February 2005 (Preliminary)	13,330	12,640	690	5.2	
January 2005 (Revised) February 2004 (Benchmarked)	13,150 13,210	12,520 12.590	630 620	4.8 4.7	
rebludly 2004 (Delicillidikeu)	13,210	12,590	020	4.7	

NOTES: 1) UNEMPLOYMENT RATES ARE COMPUTED FROM UNROUNDED DATA.

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT LABOR MARKET INFORMATION LOCAL AREA UNEMPLOYMENT STATISTICS (LAUS) 10 NORTH SENATE AVENUE PH: (317) 232-1748 INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204

To make changes to mailing list information (add/drop/change contact)
Phone: 1-800-262-6949 or email: cparker@dwd.state.in.us

²⁾ COUNTY DATA MAY NOT ADD TO TOTALS DUE TO ROUNDING.

³⁾ PRELIMINARY DATA WILL BE REVISED THE FOLLOWING MONTH.

^{*}Starting with January 2005 data, new MSA definitions are used. These will be revised back to 2000

^{*}Also starting with January 2005, new methodology is being used. It will be used back to 2000

RANKING OF COUNTY DATA

FOR FEBRUARY 2005 (PRELIMINARY) PREPARED APRIL 12, 2005

RANK	AREA	RATE	RANK	AREA	RATE
1	GRANT	10.0	47	SHELBY	6.5
2	CRAWFORD	9.8	48	RIPLEY	6.4
3	VERMILLION	9.7	49	PIKE	6.4
4	ORANGE	9.6	50	CARROLL	6.4
5	RANDOLPH	9.1	51	MARTIN	6.4
6	STARKE	8.9	52	OHIO	6.4
7	FAYETTE	8.8	53	PULASKI	6.4
8	SULLIVAN	8.7	54	MORGAN	6.4
9	LAWRENCE	8.7	55	WHITLEY	6.4
10	BLACKFORD	8.6	56	UNION	6.3
11	BROWN	8.5	57	PERRY	6.3
12	PARKE	8.4	58	BENTON	6.3
13	FRANKLIN	8.1	59	ADAMS	6.3
14	GREENE	8.0	60	NEWTON	6.3
15	WAYNE	8.0	61	DECATUR	6.2
16	DELAWARE	8.0	62	ALLEN	6.2
17	JASPER	8.0	63	MARSHALL	6.2
18	JENNINGS	7.9	64	VANDERBURGH	6.1
19	HENRY	7.9	65	WELLS	6.0
20	CLAY	7.8	66	PORTER	6.0
21	VIGO	7.7	67	SAINT JOSEPH	5.9
22	PUTNAM	7.7	68	JEFFERSON	5.9
23	SCOTT	7.7	69	RUSH	5.7
24	WHITE	7.6	70	GIBSON	5.7
25	OWEN	7.4	71	FLOYD	5.7
26	HOWARD	7.4	72	WARREN	5.6
27	JAY	7.3	73	JOHNSON	5.6
28	MADISON	7.3	74	WARRICK	5.6
29	LAKE	7.2	75	JACKSON	5.6
30	CLINTON	7.2	76	HANCOCK	5.5
31	WASHINGTON	7.1	77	POSEY	5.5
32	STEUBEN	7.1	78	BARTHOLOMEW	5.5
33	LAPORTE	7.1	79	TIPPECANOE	5.5
34	SPENCER	7.0	80	CLARK	5.4
35	HARRISON	7.0	81	LAGRANGE	5.4
36	WABASH	6.9	82	BOONE	5.4
37	MIAMI	6.9	83	SWITZERLAND	5.3
38	HUNTINGTON	6.8	84	MONTGOMERY	5.3
39	DEKALB	6.8	85	KNOX	5.2
40	TIPTON	6.8	86	MONROE	5.0
41	FOUNTAIN	6.7	87	KOSCIUSKO	5.0
42	MARION	6.7	88	ELKHART	5.0
43	NOBLE	6.7	89	HENDRICKS	4.9
44	CASS	6.6	90	DAVIESS	4.8
45	FULTON	6.6	91	DUBOIS	4.3
46	DEARBORN	6.5	92	HAMILTON	4.0

INDIANA 6.4

RANKING OF COUNTY DATA

FOR JANUARY 2005 (REVISED) PREPARED APRIL 12, 2005

RANK	AREA	RATE	RANK	AREA	RATE
1	CRAWFORD	10.1	47	CASS	6.3
2	GRANT	9.7	48	HUNTINGTON	6.2
3	ORANGE	9.3	49	TIPTON	6.2
4	CLAY	8.9	50	SHELBY	6.2
5	VERMILLION	8.7	51	OHIO	6.2
6	FAYETTE	8.6	52	DECATUR	6.1
7	STARKE	8.4	53	MARSHALL	6.1
8	LAWRENCE	8.3	54	PULASKI	6.1
9	RANDOLPH	8.3	55	RIPLEY	6.1
10	BLACKFORD	8.2	56	UNION	6.1
11	BROWN	8.2	57	FOUNTAIN	6.1
12	PARKE	8.1	58	NEWTON	6.0
13	PUTNAM	7.9	59	MORGAN	5.9
14	SULLIVAN	7.9	60	BENTON	5.9
15	WAYNE	7.8	61	WHITLEY	5.8
16	HENRY	7.7	62	ALLEN	5.7
17	GREENE	7.5	63	JEFFERSON	5.7
18	FRANKLIN	7.5	64	FLOYD	5.6
19	JASPER	7.4	65	RUSH	5.6
20	DELAWARE	7.4	66	VANDERBURGH	5.6
21	JENNINGS	7.3	67	MARTIN	5.6
22	VIGO	7.2	68	SAINT JOSEPH	5.5
23	MADISON	7.1	69	PORTER	5.5
24	WHITE	7.1	70	CLARK	5.4
25	HARRISON	7.1	71	WELLS	5.4
26	NOBLE	7.1	72	GIBSON	5.4
27	HOWARD	7.0	73	SWITZERLAND	5.3
28	SCOTT	7.0	74	JACKSON	5.3
29	WASHINGTON	6.9	75	WARRICK	5.3
30	OWEN	6.9	76	WARREN	5.2
31	SPENCER	6.9	77	JOHNSON	5.2
32	STEUBEN	6.9	78	BARTHOLOMEW	5.2
33	CLINTON	6.8	79	HANCOCK	5.2
34	FULTON	6.8	80	POSEY	5.1
35	PERRY	6.8	81	TIPPECANOE	5.1
36	JAY	6.7	82	KNOX	4.9
37	LAKE	6.7	83	BOONE	4.9
38	LAPORTE	6.6	84	ADAMS	4.9
39	DEKALB	6.6	85	ELKHART	4.9
40	MIAMI	6.5	86	MONTGOMERY	4.8
41	WABASH	6.5	87	KOSCIUSKO	4.8
42	PIKE	6.5	88	MONROE	4.7
43	DEARBORN	6.4	89	HENDRICKS	4.5
44	CARROLL	6.3	90	DAVIESS	4.5
45	MARION	6.3	91	DUBOIS	4.2
46	LAGRANGE	6.3	92	HAMILTON	3.7
				INDIANA	6.1

